





DATE MAILED: 02/26/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/242,525	02/17/1999	SHINICHI SATO	11301-1480	1170
75	90 02/26/2003			
GEORGE M THOMAS THOMAS KAYDEN HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY NW			EXAMINER	
			SERGENT, RABON A	
SUITE 1500 ATLANTA, GA 303395948			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	- •		1711	<i>a i</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

107

Office Action Summary

Application No. | Applicant(s)

09/242,525

Rabon Sergent

Examiner

Art Unit

1711

Sato et al.



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE three MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication." - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. . If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on *Dec 9, 2002* 2b) This action is non-final. 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) Claim(s) 46, 48-58, and 63 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) 49-58 is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) <u>46, 48, and 63</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims ______ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on ______ is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) 💢 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. • 2. 🗌 Gertified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. \ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 6) Other: 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/242,525

- Art Unit: 1711 - .

1. Claims 46, 48, and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicants have failed to provide support for specifying that the molecular weights are number average molecular weights. Furthermore, applicants have failed to indicate where support exits for the excluding the maleic acid diester and fumaric acid diester species from the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (703) 308-2982.

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINED

R. Sergent February 24, 2002

1 6 25